

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/680,771	10/06/2000	Andrew F. Silverman	3499-86	3225	
	12/05/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP 200 PARK AVENUE		PATEL, JAGDISH			
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10166		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3624		
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/05/2003	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$C_{I} < I$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
• Offic Action Summary	09/680,771	SILVERMAN E	ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit						
The MAILING DATE - 44	JAGDISH N PAT							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any - Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 November 2000.								
•	2b)⊠ This action is non-final							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dijected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P [*] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	TO-948) 5) 🔲 N	tterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N otice of Informal Patent Application (P ther:	o(s) TO-152)					
5. Patent and Trademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/680,771

.Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 5 and 6 recite non-functional data structure which is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. Claim 5 recites "a communication protocol" which is interpreted as software code. However, there is no other element recited in cooperation with the

protocol which would impart any functionality. Accordingly these claims are directed to a non-functional data structure (such as for example digital data representing video or music files recorded on a CD or a DVD) lacking any utility and therefore rejected as non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/680,771

.Art Unit: 3624

5. Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The process steps must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative method or apparatus. Furthermore, The claim(s) must be written in a format that conforms to the format adopted for the US patent applications as set forth in the following paragraphs.

In support of this contention, the examiner cites the following requirement of 37 CFR 1.75 regarding claim structure.

Where the nature of the case admits, as in the case of an improvement, any independent claim should contain in the following order, (1) a preamble comprising a general description of all the elements or steps of the claimed combination which are conventional or known, (2) a phrase such as "wherein the improvement comprises," and (3) those elements, steps and/or relationships which constitute that portion of the claimed combination which the applicant considers as the new or improved portion.

The claims are further examined as best interpreted from the defective recitation with "broadest reasonable interpretation".

Application/Control Number: 09/680,771

.Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Patterson Jr. et al. (US 5,774,877). (hereafter '877 Patent)

As per claims 1-2, the '877 patent discloses a system and a system for a security on the floor. (see entire disclosure).

As per claims 3-4,the '877 patent discloses a system and a method for communicating between a handheld device and a trading system (see entire disclosure).

As per claims 5-6, the '877 patent discloses a communication protocol (and a computer readable medium) for use in passing messages between a hand trading device and a handheld server system connected to a trading system

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jagdish Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-7837. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

. Art Unit: 3624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin, can be reached at (703) 308-1038. The fax number for Formal or Official faxes to Technology Center 3600 is (703) 305-7687. Draft faxes may be submitted directly to the examiner at (703) 746-5563.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113 or 308-1114. Address for hand delivery is 2451 Crystal Drive, Crystal Park 5, 7th Floor, Alexandria VA 22202.

Jagdish N. Patel

(Examiner, AU 3624)

11/26/03